

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,366	02/11/2005	Jurgen Meyer	032301.411	9856	
25461 7590 06/27/2007 SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL		EXAMINER .			
SUITE 3100, PROMENADE II			HAILEY, PA	HAILEY, PATRICIA L	
1230 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30309-3592			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
miemin, o		•	1755		
	•	·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		06/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/524,366	MEYER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Patricia L. Hailey	1755		

	Patricia L. Hailey	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in t	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liana with 07 OFD 44 07 mouth	:611 - al	ha - 644 data - 6
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• ••		•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		4. 6.4 4.41	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		AN	
	J.	A KOBENGO	
	SUPERVISO	AY PATENT EXAMINER	{
•	\.	\ 1	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments alter the scope of the claims, thereby necessitating further consideration and/or search. Additionally, the 132 Declaration submitted by Applicants on June 7, 2007, is insufficient to overcome the rejections of record, as Declarant's C.V. is not "attached hereto...".

Applicants' arguments traversing the rejections of record have been considered, but are not persuasive. Applicants have not convincingly shown that the process of Deller et al. "leads in a direction opposite to what is produced by applicants', specifically the alleged intention that this reference "intends to make larger particles from smaller ones...".

Applicants have not convincingly shown how the cited references of record do not anticipate, teach, or suggest a "structurally modified" silica.

The Terminal Disclaimer filed by Applicants' on June 7, 2007, is sufficient to overcome the provisional double-patenting rejection of claims 1, 7, and 8 as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4-9 of copending Application Serial No. 10/532,202.

All other rejections of record have been maintained..